

**Amendments to the Drawings**

A corrected Fig. 43 is enclosed.

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In response to the Examiner's first Office Action of December 14, 2005 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Terminal Disclaimer with respect to USSN 10/760,272, Amendment to the specification and claims, and the below Remarks.

#### ***Regarding Amendment***

In the Amendment:

page 1 of the specification has been amended to replace docket numbers with application numbers accordingly.

page 14, line 1, page 15, line 19, page 18, lines 7 and 35 and page 23, line 1 of the present specification are amended to omit reference to Fig. 17C;

independent claim 1 is amended to clarify that at least two printhead integrated circuits are comprised in the claimed printhead module, each being mounted to the support member by an associated fluid distribution member which is adhered to the support member. Support for this amendment can be found at page 7, line 9-page 9, line 9 and page 9, line 30-page 10, line 14 of the present specification;

dependent claims 2 and 3 are amended to conform with amended claim 1;

dependent claim 9 is cancelled in accordance with amended claim 1;

dependent claim 10 is amended to directly depend from claim 2 in view of the cancellation of claim 9; and

dependent claims 4-8 and 11 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments do not add new matter to the present application.

#### ***Regarding Drawing Objection***

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendments to omit reference to Fig. 17C in the present specification, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

#### ***Regarding Claim Objections***

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendments to independent claim 1 and dependent claim 2, provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed "at least two fluid distribution members" in claims 2, 7 and 8.

***Regarding 35 USC 102(b) Rejections***

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-4, 6, 7 and 9 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed by Silverbrook et al. (US 6,439,908), for at least the following reasons.

In the present invention, each printhead module 30 has two or more printhead tiles 50, which each support two or more printhead integrated circuits 51, arranged on a fluid channel member 40. The tiles are adhered to the fluid channel member so as to ensure sealed fluid communication of printing fluid from the channel member to the printhead integrated circuits whilst providing for easy replacement of the modules and selection of printhead length (see page 7, line 9-page 9, line 9 and page 9, line 30-page 10, line 14 of the present specification). Independent claim 1 has been amended to clarify this arrangement of the present invention.

On the other hand, Silverbrook et al. discloses a printhead assembly 10 in which each printhead module 12 has a single microelectromechanical chip 18 mounted to a support molding 26,28. Each module is clipped, using clips 44 into a reservoir molding 32 housing an ink reservoir 16. This allows individual removal of each module from the reservoir molding (see col. 2, lines 2-53 of Silverbrook et al.).

Thus, Silverbrook et al. does not disclose a printhead module comprising more than one printhead chip with each chip adhesively mounted to the reservoir molding via the support molding, as is required by amended independent claim 1. Furthermore, the disclosure of Silverbrook et al. does not teach or suggest one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosed assembly, because Silverbrook et al. specifically teaches that the modularity is provided by the clipping in of the modules into the reservoir molding.

Thus, the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-8, 10 and 11 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook et al..

***Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections***

*Silverbrook et al. in view of Lu et al. (US 2003/0007042)*

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of dependent claim 5 is not taught or suggested by Silverbrook in view of Lu, for at least the following reasons.

Lu merely discloses a reciprocating printhead 2,10 (see paragraphs [0001]-[0003] and [0013] of Lu), not a pagewidth, modular printhead assembly. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated from the disclosure of Silverbrook et al. to use the adhesive disclosed by Lu to attach the modules to the reservoir molding, since Lu discloses that the adhesive is used to securely bond the ink cartridge elements to the cartridge body (see paragraphs [0016] and [0021] of Lu), which would destroy the removability of the modules disclosed by Silverbrook et al.

Thus, the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-8, 10 and 11 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook et al. either taken alone or in combination with Lu.

*Silverbrook et al. in view of Silverbrook (WO 2001/089849)*

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of dependent claim 8 is not taught or suggested by Silverbrook et al. in view of Silverbrook, for at least the following reasons.

Silverbrook merely discloses a single laminated stack 11 which mounts several print chips 27 to an ink distribution molding 35 by being sealingly bonded to the molding (see pages 5 and 7 of Silverbrook).

Thus, the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-8, 10 and 11 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook et al. either taken alone or in combination with Silverbrook.

***Regarding Provisional Double Patenting Rejections***

With respect to the provisional non-statutory double patenting rejection of pending claims 1-11 over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/760,272, a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) is being submitted herewith; the present application and Application No. 10/760,272 being commonly owned by the Applicant.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections and rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicants:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK



---

NORMAN MICHEAL BERRY



---

GARRY RAYMOND JACKSON



---

AKIRA NAKAZAWA

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762